



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/689,222	10/11/2000	Siddhartha Nag	59033-278849	7951
7590 04/05/2005			EXAMINER	
MICHAEL A. DeSANCTIS			SWEARINGEN, JEFFREY R	
FAEGRE & BENSON LLP 3200 WELLS FARGO CENTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1700 LINCOLN STREET DENVER, CO 80203-4532			2145	
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/689,222	NAG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffrey R. Swearingen	2145			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ja	nuary 2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 4,5,9,10,18,24,25,38 and 41-71 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 4,5,9,10,18,24,25,38 and 41-71 are se	vn from consideration.	n requirement.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) 🔀 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P 6) 🔲 Other:	(PTO-413) ate. <u>3/22/05</u> . atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/689,222

Art Unit: 2145

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This case has been reassigned to a new examiner.
- 2. Applicant initiated a telephonic interview with the Examiner on 3/22/05. Based on the results of said interview, the office action by Examiner Kianersi on 11/10/2004 is hereby made non-final.
- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 4-5 and 24-25, drawn to a network traffic modeling simulation, classified in class
 709, subclass 223.
 - II. Claims 9-10, drawn to a graphical user interface for router configuration, classified in class 709, subclass 230.
 - III. Claims 18, 38 and 60, drawn to automatic provisioning of a router path, classified in class709, subclass 232.
 - IV. Claims 41-59 and 61-71, drawn to configuration of a VOIP network with predetermined factors, classified in class 709, subclass 238.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 4. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a network traffic simulation. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5. Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as a router provisioning utility. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 6. Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately

Art Unit: 2145

usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as establishing a VOIP connection. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 7. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as a GUI for router configuration. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 8. Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as a router configuration utility for any network. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 9. Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as automatic router provisioning for any network. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 11. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 12. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 13. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 14. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 15. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/689,222

Art Unit: 2145

16. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for

Group III is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

17. During a telephone interview with Mike DeSanctis on 3/22/05, a request was made for a written

restriction.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of

the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

18. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named

inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Jeffrey R. Swearingen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3921. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on 571-272-6159. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M

PATRICE WINDER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4